


ULT Staff Discipline Policy



UTTOXETER
LEARNING TRUST
INSPIRED TEACHING
INSPIRING CHILDREN

Approved: Autumn 2021
Due for review: Autumn 2022

Staff Discipline Policy

The purpose of this policy and procedure is to set out the standards of conduct expected of our colleagues and to ensure that all disciplinary matters are dealt with fairly and consistently. It should be read in conjunction with the Investigation, Suspension and Formal Meeting procedures. (See appendices 1, 2 and 3). This procedure does not deal with performance or sickness absence issues.

The policy and procedure have been agreed with the recognised trade unions and applies to all colleagues, regardless of length of service, but does not form part of the contract of employment. The procedure does not apply once you have left ULT.

An Equality Impact Assessment was carried out on this policy and procedure prior to implementation.

Local governing bodies are required to adopt this policy in dealing with staff disciplinary matters.

Trustees will periodically review this procedure to take account of any changes in employment law, in consultation with the recognised Trade Unions.

1. General Principles

- 1.1 All line managers are responsible for making sure that colleagues know when they are not achieving or maintaining the expected standards of conduct or behaviour.
- 1.2 All colleagues have a responsibility to be aware of and conduct themselves in line with ULT policies and the law, and to maintain acceptable standards of conduct and behaviour. Colleagues must adhere to professional standards associated with their profession or role. Colleagues must also cooperate with disciplinary investigations as required.
- 1.3 The aim of the procedure is to set out and maintain the required standards of conduct and encourage improvement.
- 1.4 We are committed to equality and diversity and will make reasonable adjustments to the application of this policy and procedure in line with our equal opportunities commitment.

2. Acceptable Behaviour and Conduct

- 2.1 The ULT expects all colleagues to meet high standards of behaviour and conduct. Examples of the types of expected standards of behaviour are detailed in para 14 of this policy and are also detailed in the Staff Code of Conduct and in professional standards (for example the Teacher Standards 2011).

3. Minor Conduct Issues and an Informal Approach

- 3.1 For minor conduct issues, your line manager will adopt an informal approach to help, guide or advise you in improving your conduct. Your line manager will only consider dealing with minor disciplinary breaches through the formal stages of the procedure if your misconduct continues or the misconduct is too serious to be dealt with informally.

- 3.2 Cases of minor misconduct are usually best dealt with informally and confidentially. A conversation about the concerns and resolutions is often all that is required to improve your conduct. In some cases, your line manager may decide that additional training, coaching and advice may be what is needed. Supportive action should be taken by line managers without delay.
- 3.3 Where a line manager has a concern about your conduct s/he will organise an informal meeting to discuss the concern. The purpose of any informal meeting is to improve your conduct, to identify and examine any areas of concern and to provide a reasonable opportunity for you to respond, for example, where it is appropriate to question the factual accuracy of any concern that has been identified. You and your line manager must ensure that you understand any future expectations of your conduct and, where appropriate, develop an action plan leading to improvements in your conduct. Although this may result in a note of the discussion and any follow-up correspondence being kept by your manager on your personal file, there will be no note made on your disciplinary record. You will be asked to confirm that you agree that any action plan you are signing up to is achievable and realistic and should raise any concerns that you have about the action plan with your line manager.
- 3.4 Where the behaviour causing concern may be related to an underlying relationship issue, it may be appropriate to consider an independent third party, such as a mediator, to help resolve the situation rather than disciplinary action.
- 3.5 In the event that the matter cannot be resolved informally or the matter is too serious for the informal approach to be applied, then the formal disciplinary process will follow.
- 3.6 No formal disciplinary action will be taken unless or until the allegations have been fully investigated.

4. Link with Other Policies and Procedures

- 4.1 Grievance Policy – where you submit a complaint during disciplinary proceedings, this will not normally stop the proceedings from progressing. Where you raise a grievance during disciplinary proceedings:
- The disciplinary proceedings may be temporarily suspended in order to deal with the grievance or
 - The grievance and disciplinary may be run concurrently where they are related.
- 4.1.1 Where you assert that disciplinary proceedings being undertaken are unlawfully discriminatory or are motivated by reasons other than misconduct, you can raise a grievance.
- 4.2 Managing Attendance at Work Policy – where you are absent due to sickness whilst a disciplinary matter is pending, the managing attendance at work procedure will apply as normal. However, those responsible for keeping in touch would not normally be the same people involved in the handling of your case. Under these arrangements due regard will be had for what is said by Occupational Health and any information you may wish to provide from your GP. We will arrange for you to see Occupational Health as soon as possible for

them to assess your health generally and whether or not you are fit to participate in these procedures. Being absent from work due to sickness will not automatically stop the disciplinary procedure progressing.

5. Formal Disciplinary Process

5.1 Prior to any formal disciplinary decision being made, the following steps will be taken:

- A thorough investigation will be carried out in line with the investigation procedure. (See appendix 1). If allegations have been made against you, you will be notified of the nature of the allegations that are to be investigated prior to the investigation meeting. The amount of investigation involved will vary depending on the allegations in question and the circumstances of the case. Investigations will be dealt with as confidentially and sensitively as is reasonably practicable.
- Who will be involved in the investigation would depend entirely on the nature of the allegation and who the allegation is against. Normally the investigation is carried out by a 'Line Manager', someone who is not involved in the allegations made. It can also be carried out by a governor, or by someone external to the school such as HR.
- If, following investigation, it is reasonably believed that there are grounds for disciplinary action, you will be required to attend a disciplinary hearing.
- You will be invited to the hearing in writing.
- You will be provided with written details of the allegations against you to be presented to you at the hearing in writing at least 10 working days before the meeting/hearing.
- You must provide copies of any relevant evidence you intend to refer to, at least 3 working days before the hearing.
- At the hearing the case against you will be explained and you will have the opportunity to respond to the allegations.
- The meeting will be adjourned and a decision about further disciplinary action will be taken after the meeting. Timeline and format for communicating the outcome of the meeting is outlined in the formal meeting procedure. (See appendix 2).

6. Right to be Accompanied

6.1 You have the right to be accompanied by a companion at any meeting as part of the investigatory or formal disciplinary procedure. The companion may be a fellow colleague, a trade union representative or an official employed by a trade union.

6.2 The companion is allowed to address the hearing, to put and sum up your case, respond on your behalf to any views expressed at the meeting and confer with you during the hearing. S/he may also request an adjournment and ask questions of anyone present. The companion does not, however, have the right

to answer questions on your behalf, address the hearing if you do not wish it or prevent the employer from explaining their case. Other than confirming that all parties have the same documentation, it would not normally be necessary to read out the content of the documentation.

- 6.3 Under this procedure, you do not have the right to be accompanied by anyone else (such as a spouse, partner, other family member or legal representative).
- 6.4 However, it would not normally be reasonable for you to insist on being accompanied by a companion whose presence would prejudice the hearing nor would it be reasonable for you to ask to be accompanied by a companion from a remote geographical location if someone suitable and willing was available on site.
- 6.5 Where appropriate, eligible colleagues, for example those with disabilities or language difficulties, may have the attendance of a supporter or interpreter.

7. Suspension

- 7.1 Depending on the circumstances, you may be suspended from work on full pay during the investigation, although this will only be as a last resort and unavoidable. Suspension on full pay is not a disciplinary penalty or a presumption of guilt. For which circumstances this would apply please refer to the suspension procedure. (See appendix 3).

8. Action against Trade Union Representatives

- 8.1 Disciplinary action against a trade union representative can lead to a serious dispute if it is seen as an attack on their functions.

9. Criminal Offences

- 9.1 Certain criminal offences may affect your suitability to continue in your role with the ULT. If you are charged with a relevant criminal offence, you must inform your headteacher, or CEO if you are the headteacher, or the Chair of the Trust board if you are the CEO, as soon as possible. We will not treat notification about criminal proceedings, or a conviction (including bind-overs and cautions), as an automatic reason for dismissal or for any other form of disciplinary action being taken. We will decide what action to take, if any, after we have reviewed the matter. The main consideration should be whether the conviction is one that makes you unsuitable for your job or affects the reputation of the ULT.
- 9.2 If you are subject to a criminal investigation, the ULT will determine to what extent it needs to conduct its own investigation before deciding whether to proceed to formal disciplinary action. The ULT will not usually wait for the outcome of any prosecution before deciding what action to take (unless specifically advised otherwise by the police). No decision to impose a disciplinary sanction or dismiss will be taken prior to giving you the opportunity to make representations.
- 9.3 If we have reasonable grounds to suspect that the potential misconduct involves fraud, systems abuse, theft, or any financial irregularity, we will notify the internal auditors and/or the police as soon as possible.

10. The Disciplinary Hearing

- 10.1 You must make all reasonable efforts to attend a disciplinary hearing. If you or your companion is unable to attend a hearing, you may propose a new date of no more than 5 working days from the date of the original date. How the employee will be invited to the first and any subsequent hearings is outlined in the formal meetings procedure. (See appendix 2).
- 10.2 The line manager will respond sensitively when a delay is required, for example, it may arise for a reason related to a disability or emergency involving dependents. We may arrange another hearing date if you fail to attend through circumstances outside of your control.
- 10.3 If you do not attend the hearing without good reason, it should still be re-arranged, but if you do not attend the rearranged meeting/hearing, a decision may be made in your absence. You may submit a written statement to be taken into consideration.
- 10.4 Where you are persistently unable or unwilling to attend the hearing without good cause, the manager will make a decision on the evidence available.
- 10.5 The disciplinary hearing will be conducted by a senior manager at the school (or from the ULT) as determined by the Headteacher or CEO as appropriate. (Any hearing which may result in dismissal will always be conducted by a panel of at least 3 governors/trustees). A representative from HR may also be present and will always be present at hearings that may result in dismissal or an alternative to dismissal.
- 10.5 The senior manager will explain the allegations against you and the evidence in support of those allegations. You will have the opportunity to respond to the allegations, which will include the presentation of your own evidence if you wish. You may request that a witness attend the hearing, except that where you rely on character witnesses we would ask that witness statements be provided. However, witnesses whose evidence is not challenged will not be called. Where a witness is required to attend, the senior manager will invite them in writing.
- 10.6 Notes of formal meetings will be given to you for your information, and copies of notes, letters and action plans should be retained on the employee's personnel file, and should be reviewed in line with the duration of the sanction applied (see below).

11. Disciplinary Penalties

11.1 Stage 1 – First written warning

A first written warning may be issued by the senior manager and will usually be appropriate for a first act of misconduct where there are no previous warnings and where any agreed adjustments and other support has been made or provided. The warning will remain active for 6 months and it should be disregarded for disciplinary purposes after this period.

11.2 Stage 2 – Final written warning

A final written warning may be issued by the senior manager in the following circumstances:

- where there has been a further act of misconduct while an existing warning is in effect; or
- the seriousness of the misconduct is sufficient to warrant such a warning, even though no previous warning may have been issued.

11.2.1 The warning will remain active for 12 months and it should be disregarded for disciplinary purposes after this period.

11.3 **Stage 3 – Dismissal**

Only an appropriate level of authority in line with the ULT scheme of delegation can take a decision to dismiss. You may be dismissed in the following circumstances:

- where, within the duration of the final written warning, the necessary improvement in behaviour has not been achieved and any agreed adjustment and other support has been made or provided. This would be with notice or payment in lieu of notice; or
- where there has been a further act of misconduct while an existing warning is in effect; or
- where it is reasonably believed that you have committed an act of gross misconduct. Gross misconduct will usually result in summary dismissal without notice and without pay in lieu of notice. Examples of gross misconduct are detailed in para 15 of this policy.

11.3.1 The senior manager may, at their discretion, consider alternatives to dismissal. Examples of such alternatives include demotion, loss of seniority, reduction in pay, compulsory training. If such an alternative is applied, it may also be accompanied by a final written warning.

12. **Warnings**

12.1 Where a warning is issued, this will be confirmed to you in writing within 10 working days of the hearing. The warning will state the misconduct that led to the warning, the action or improvement required by you, the duration of the warning and the likely consequences of the action of improvement not being taken and/or any further misconduct. You will also be advised of the right of appeal and the person to whom an appeal should be made.

12.2 Where an employee is absent from work for 20 days or more, regardless of the reason for absence, whilst a Stage 1 or Stage 2 disciplinary warning is in force, that warning will be suspended for the period of absence. Once the employee returns, the warning will recommence and continue for the remaining active period.

13 **Appeals**

13.1 Where disciplinary action has been taken, if you are dissatisfied with that decision, you can appeal. Your appeal must be in writing and set out the grounds of your appeal in line with the list below and include all the information you wish to rely on at the appeal hearing. You will be advised in the outcome letter who to direct your appeal letter too, and this will usually be to the next

level of management within 5 working days of the date you received the letter notifying you of the disciplinary decision. The action taken at the disciplinary hearing will remain in force pending the outcome of the appeal. You must be appealing against either:

- the finding that you were guilty of committing the alleged act (or acts) of misconduct where the evidence did not support this finding
- the severity of the disciplinary sanction imposed, taking into account the nature of the misconduct and the mitigating circumstances
- the fact that you don't feel the correct procedure was followed
- the fact that new evidence, that was not considered in the disciplinary hearing, has come to light that would change the outcome
- the sanction is inconsistent with how others have been treated
- unlawful discrimination in the handling of the disciplinary.

13.2 You will be given at least 10 working days' notice of the appeal hearing. You have the right to be accompanied at the appeal hearing by your companion.

13.3 You must provide copies of any relevant evidence you intend to refer to, at least 3 working days before the hearing.

13.4 The outcome of the appeal may be to overturn or confirm the original decision or apply a different, but not more serious, sanction. You will be advised of the appeal outcome, which will be confirmed in writing within 10 working days of the appeal hearing. There is no further right of appeal.

13.5 You are not entitled to raise a further complaint under the ULT Grievance Policy in relation to the same grounds of appeal.

14. Examples of Standards of Behaviour

14.1 The following are examples of the behaviour expected by the ULT of all its employees, although the list is not exhaustive:

- You should attend work punctually and regularly, in line with operational requirements
- You should carry out reasonable requests/instructions from your managers promptly and efficiently, and to the required standard
- Time off must be approved in advance by the appropriate level of authority, usually your manager and be in line with your contract of employment
- You must follow the procedures outlined in the Managing Attendance at Work_policy when notifying your academy of your sickness absence
- You must comply with all of the ULT's policies and procedures
- You must adhere to professional body and statutory guidelines, as appropriate, and act professionally at all times
- In your own interests, and in the interests of the ULT as a whole, you should bring serious breaches of the ULT's policies or procedures to the attention of management.

15. Examples of Gross Misconduct

15.1 The following are examples of gross misconduct, but this list is not exhaustive:

- Theft or unauthorised removal of property, fraud, falsification of the ULT's records or any other dishonesty
- Actual or threatened violence or bullying behaviour
- Deliberate or serious damage to ULT property or that of a colleague, customer, contractor or authorised visitor
- Serious negligence which does or could result in loss, damage or injury
- Deliberately accessing, copying or distributing pornographic, offensive, obscene or inappropriate material on the internet or paper media
- Being under the influence of alcohol, drugs or other similar substances at work which may give reasonable grounds to suspect your ability to undertake your duties
- Being in possession of illegal or intoxicating drugs on site
- Refusal to obey reasonable instructions or any other act of serious insubordination
- Any action or behaviour which brings the ULT into serious disrepute
- Serious breach of health and safety rules
- Unauthorised disclosure of confidential information
- Acceptance of bribes or other secret payments
- Harassment or any act of discrimination towards anyone you come into contact with because of your work
- Convictions relating to activities outside work but which have a significant and direct bearing on your employment and duties with the ULT and its reputation
- Misrepresenting at any time, including at your appointment with the ULT, any previous positions you have held, your qualifications, date of birth, declaration of health, or a failure to disclose a criminal offence or pending criminal action subject to the provisions of the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974.

Investigation Procedure

General Requirements:

In order to give effect to the ULT's policies and procedures there needs to be a manager in a deciding role. Such a manager is to be known as the 'Deciding Manager' to differentiate this role from that of the 'Investigating Manager'. The Deciding Manager (this will usually be the headteacher or the CEO if the disciplinary matter relates to the headteacher) may consider that a matter is not capable of being dealt with informally and so requires a formal investigation. The purpose of an investigation is to enable the Deciding Manager to establish, as far as possible, the facts behind any grievance, bullying and harassment complaint or disciplinary allegations in order to decide how to progress any issues through the relevant procedures. This procedure applies to employees of the organisation, referred to in this guidance as colleagues.

The form of investigation will depend on the nature of the allegations and will vary from case to case. It is important to keep an open mind and look for evidence which supports the colleague's case as well as evidence against it.

The manager leading the investigation will be referred to as the Investigating Manager for the purposes of this procedure. Investigations must be carried out by managers who have sufficient skills and experience to complete an effective investigation considering the nature of the case.

Any formal investigation must not be undertaken by anyone who is either a witness to events and/or who will oversee any subsequent proceedings.

Depending on the seriousness of any allegations the investigation may, in straightforward cases, be a short consolidation and chronology of facts or, in more extreme circumstances, necessitate a more detailed inquiry involving the gathering of complex evidence and statements. However, in all cases the Investigating Manager will need to provide enough information so that the Deciding Manager can make a reasonable decision based on reasonable grounds.

Anyone involved in the investigation must be treated fairly, reasonably, and with courtesy and respect. Any investigation must recognise the need for confidentiality. Colleagues involved with the investigation are to comply with ULT's equality and diversity principles giving due consideration to any particular needs of those participating which may arise due to cultural, personal, physiological or lawfully protected characteristics or circumstances.

Any reference to 'the employer' refers to the Uttoxeter Learning Trust.

Formal Investigations:

Where a Deciding Manager initiates a formal investigation, they will identify and arrange for an appropriate person to carry out the investigation but will retain authority for the investigation process at all times. This person will be known as the Investigating Manager.

If a manager undertaking an investigation is unable to continue for unavoidable reasons, such as long term illness or jury service, a substitute must be nominated by the Deciding Manager within **3 working days**. Similarly, where the Deciding Manager becomes unavailable for unavoidable reasons a substitute will be nominated.

Where a Deciding Manager initiates an investigation, they will brief the Investigating Manager on the following points:

- Why the investigation has been instigated
- Details of any allegations which have been made
- The sequence of events leading up to the investigation
- Whether the colleague has been suspended
- When and how the colleague was made aware of the allegations made against them

The Investigating Manager should:

- Ensure that at all times they treat the investigation as confidential
- Clarify which policy the investigation is being carried out under
- Clarify the issues to be investigated and consider ULT's definitions under the relevant policies e.g. harassment and bullying
- Consider the sequence in which witnesses will be interviewed (including the colleague under investigation if applicable)
- Consider what points actually need to be evidenced or proven
- Consider how a particular witness will contribute to the investigation
- Consider what questions need to be asked to establish appropriate facts
- Consider what other evidence they will need to review
- Arrange a note taker

It is essential to ascertain who has witnessed the events so that facts can be gathered as soon as possible and before memories fade. Any investigation should be commenced within **5 working days** of a decision to instigate the investigation.

Wherever possible, investigations into the allegations or complaint should be completed within **40 working days**. Any delays in completing the investigation must be fully documented and the relevant parties kept informed. Therefore, the nominated Investigating Manager must be able to devote sufficient time to the investigation without being distracted by his/her primary role. The Deciding Manager will monitor this and take action where necessary, throughout the investigation. Investigation meetings should be held as quickly as possible and without unreasonable delay. A nominated Human Resources (HR) adviser must be available to advise the Investigating Manager on procedural matters and HR implications.

At the investigation stage, if a complaint or allegation has been made against a colleague, or where a colleague is raising a grievance or a complaint, the colleague will be given a reasonable opportunity to ask the Investigating Manager to interview relevant and important witnesses and any such request will not be unreasonably denied. They must specify the name of the witness and explain the reason for the request. Where a request is refused the colleague may appeal the refusal to the Deciding Manager who will make a determination on the merits of the request. The Investigating Manager will focus on finding witnesses whose evidence will have a specific and direct bearing on the investigation but other than the need to achieve corroboration should avoid multiple witnesses who will not add anything further to the investigation e.g. witnesses who are only going to confirm facts already established.

If we have reasonable grounds to suspect that the potential misconduct or complaint involves fraud, systems abuse, theft, or any financial irregularity, we will notify the internal auditors and/or the police as soon as possible, where appropriate.

If allegations made are anonymous, the action we take will depend on the nature and content of the allegations.

Colleagues must co-operate fully and promptly in any investigation. This will include informing the Investigating Manager of the names of any relevant witnesses, disclosing any relevant documents to them and attending investigative interviews if required.

Investigating Allegations against Colleagues:

The colleague under investigation is required to co-operate reasonably with any investigation but cannot be compelled to make a statement against his or her will. A colleague who is signed off sick is not necessarily unfit to be interviewed and, unless there is medical advice to the contrary, e.g. from Occupational Health, the colleague should co-operate with the investigation.

The investigation process can be stressful to all concerned. Providing appropriate support and/or counselling (if appropriate) should be considered for all parties involved in the investigation process.

Refusal to give a statement must not be viewed as a sign of guilt but the colleague must be advised that:

- Unreasonable refusal to co-operate with the investigation may in itself be considered a disciplinary matter
- The investigation will nevertheless proceed but a decision on what further action could be taken may have to be made without them having put forward their case
- Their refusal to give a statement will be recorded as part of the investigation.

The colleague under investigation must be given every chance to state their case and, therefore, will be interviewed as part of the investigation (unless for example they have been declared unfit to be interviewed). The Investigating Manager must keep an open mind and not assume 'guilt' or 'innocence'. If there is more than one allegation each should be dealt with separately, as far as possible, and the colleague must be given the opportunity to respond. There is a right to be accompanied by a fellow worker, a trade union representative or an official employed by a trade union at investigation meetings.

Following an interview with the colleague under investigation it may be necessary to re-interview previous witnesses (and consequently the colleague under investigation) to clarify issues.

If the colleague offers their resignation before the investigation is complete, the Deciding Manager must decide whether or not to accept it. If the resignation is accepted the Deciding Manager must decide whether to continue with the investigation process in the colleague's absence and if appropriate, take any relevant action. Where a colleague's resignation has been accepted, there may still be a legal duty for ULT to refer information to the Disclosure and Barring Service - DBS (previously the Independent Safeguarding Authority - ISA) in certain circumstances where they may have been removed from their role if they had not resigned.

Surveillance and/or Monitoring:

In extremely exceptional and restricted circumstances, covert surveillance or monitoring may only be considered in order to gather evidence where criminal activity or equivalent malpractice is suspected. Furthermore, it should only be deployed as part of a specific investigation and should cease once the investigation is completed. Other information

collected in the course of any such investigation, relating to any colleague who is not the subject of the investigation, will be disregarded and where feasible deleted.

Covert monitoring will not be used in the workplace generally or specifically in places like toilets and private offices except where there is a reasonable belief based on reasonable grounds that serious crime is occurring and there is an intention to involve the Police.

Prior to undertaking any such surveillance or monitoring, the Deciding Manager must obtain express advice and specific authorisation from Information Governance/ Legal/HR in line with ULT's procedure and relevant legislation before any covert monitoring can occur.

Matters Involving Children and Other Vulnerable People:

Any concern of a child protection/safeguarding nature, pertaining to a child under the age of 18, should be considered by the Deciding Manager without delay for possible referral to the First Response Team on 0800 131 3126. They will initiate any necessary safeguarding activities, and advise upon anything additional the referrer may need to do.

Where concerns relating to a child under 18 involve the possibility of inappropriate behaviour by an adult in a 'position of trust' (e.g. teacher), or an allegation is made directly about an adult in a 'position of trust', then it is a requirement that an 'Initial Discussion' is undertaken with a Local Authority Designated Officer ("LADO") within 24 hours of the concern or allegation arising. The LADO will advise on 'next steps' including possible suspension, how the matter should be investigated and when and who should be told about the matter. There should be no presumption that the adult should be made aware of the concern/allegation prior to contacting the LADO.

Where safeguarding concerns arise in relation to a young adult (over 18) then advice should be sought from the Vulnerable Adults team – 0845 604 2719.

Physical Evidence:

Originals or certified copies of documents or other items relevant to the case, such as timesheets and claims, referred to in the report should be attached as appropriate appendices. Objects and artefacts referred to (or certified photographs where it is not possible to produce an original item, e.g. if it is fixed or too large) should be clearly labelled as exhibits e.g. A, B, C etc so they can be identified within the report.

Record Keeping:

During the investigation, the Investigating Manager should keep copies of paperwork and correspondence and, after the investigation is complete, the paperwork should be attached to the personal file of the colleague who is under investigation. A copy of the outcome letter will be kept on the personnel files of all parties where applicable.

Dealing with Witnesses and their Evidence:

Witnesses should be interviewed in a quiet place away from their immediate workplace where confidentiality can be assured and consideration should be given to providing refreshments and comfort breaks if necessary. They should be advised that they will be required to sign any statement. They must also be advised that their evidence may be shared with the member of staff under investigation and/or their representative and that they could be asked to attend any subsequent hearing where they may be questioned.

Being interviewed can be stressful and the investigating manager should put witnesses at ease as far as possible and at all times treat the witness with sensitivity and respect,

avoiding any temptation to interrupt. A short introduction and explanation of the allegations and what the interview is aiming to achieve prior to undertaking detailed questioning will give the witness an opportunity to settle down. The interviewer should then ask the witness to give a brief outline of their evidence which will help put things into context and identify what detailed questions should be asked. If a witness does become distressed during the interview a brief adjournment should be considered. When requesting additional information, open questions e.g. What?, when?, why?, where?, who?, how?, “tell me about...” are more likely to elicit a detailed response than questions which can simply be answered “yes” or “no”.

Evidence is weighted, not counted, unless there is a need to corroborate a particular view there is little purpose including a number of virtually identical statements from different witnesses. Unless a colleague believes that particular witness evidence is relevant and important to the explanation of their case.

As far as is possible the Investigating Manager should be clear about what evidence they are trying to establish from a particular witness. However, the exact nature of the evidence may not be known. Therefore, they should be prepared to adapt their inquiries according to the responses given and not attempt to lead the witness to give an expected answer, put words into their mouth or ‘edit’ their statement in a way that alters their intended evidence. Simply said, the investigation should be unbiased, investigating both angles, which may show the colleagues innocence as well as their guilt.

The Investigating Manager should endeavour to obtain ‘best’ evidence e.g. first-hand accounts from witnesses who are prepared to give a signed statement. Evidence should be corroborated, where possible, to avoid inconsistencies. Anonymous evidence and hearsay (which relies on what a witness has been told by a third party) may be used but needs to be treated with caution and cannot be relied upon in isolation. Where anonymous evidence is to be taken the following steps will be necessary. Explore whether the witness had the opportunity and ability to observe clearly what they are asserting and with accuracy. Equally, exploring why such details are memorable. Tactful enquires are needed into whether the anonymous informant has suffered at the hands of the accused or has any other reason to fabricate their evidence.

Witnesses who wish to give anonymous statements should be advised that anonymity cannot be guaranteed if the matter proceeds. This is particularly important if the reason for them wishing to remain anonymous is a fear of reprisal or detriment. If a colleague declines to give evidence the reason should be considered and further advice sought from HR before proceeding. In some circumstances refusing to assist in a work-related investigation could be considered misconduct under the Disciplinary Policy.

Non-colleagues may be invited to give evidence or make a written statement but cannot be compelled.

When the Investigating Manager is sure that all relevant questions have been asked they should ask the witness if there is anything else they wish to say in evidence. They should then briefly summarise what has been covered so the evidence can be agreed or clarified if necessary. Where possible, the evidence should immediately be transcribed into a statement. If this is not possible due to the length of the evidence the Investigating Manager should draw up the statement, without unreasonable delay. In either case the witness must be given sufficient time to read the statement and be offered the opportunity to challenge and clarify the content of the statement. This may be necessary if the Investigating Manager has misunderstood a point the witness had intended to convey. However, if it would represent a radical change to the evidence actually given, the Investigating Manager should leave the evidence as it is but note the

witness' concerns and any changes they wish to be made. Their reason for changing their evidence also should be recorded.

On completion of the interview the witness should be told that they must not discuss their evidence/statement with anyone else, particularly other witnesses or the person being investigated. Witnesses for the person under investigation may reasonably discuss their perception of events with them and/or their representative but must not directly communicate the evidence specifically given to the investigation. All witnesses should also be asked to report immediately any approach, directly or through a third party, by the person under investigation to ascertain the nature of, or attempt to influence, their evidence. Any attempt by the person under investigation to intimidate or influence a witness may be regarded as an act of gross misconduct under the Disciplinary Policy.

Investigation Report:

The Investigating Manager prepares a report confirming the process and findings of their investigation. The report should be in a clear and simple format and be written in plain English. The report should be written in a way that the reader can easily ascertain what has led to the investigation, what the key issues are, what the investigator reviewed and what were the findings.

The report will document what facts the Investigating Manager has discovered during the investigation and will include any documents that relate to the finding of any such facts. The Investigating Manager must not speculate or make recommendations about whether a formal hearing should be convened in the case of a disciplinary matter or what disciplinary sanction may be appropriate as these are the functions of the Deciding Manager. In some circumstances, it may be appropriate for the Investigating Manager to feedback wider observations to the Deciding Manager and issues that have arisen as part of an investigation. This may include providing suggestions about what action might be taken for example training, or communications.

If the Investigating Manager feels that there is evidence to indicate that any alleged misconduct has occurred or the complaint could be considered malicious or vexatious, the Investigating Manager will report on their findings explaining why they believe that this has occurred, describing the basis for their belief and referring to any supporting evidence accordingly.

Arising from the Investigation - What the Deciding Manager Should Consider:

Factors which Deciding Manager should consider arising from the investigation include:

- The nature of the alleged misconduct or complaint (if applicable)
- The circumstances, background and context of the issues
- What witness statements reveal
- Whether there are any other facts that can clarify the situation including any relevant written or electronic records, timesheets etc
- The seriousness/effects of the behaviour / action and or its relevance to the colleague's job, team and service delivery
- Whether the alleged conduct or action reflects poorly on or brings the good name of the Trust into question
- Whether there are any work-related factors that may have contributed to the behaviour or action such as changes to the team, job or the working environment
- Whether the colleague(s) received appropriate induction, support and training

- Whether there are any personal or underlying factors that might have affected the situation such as illness, disability, domestic or health problems, or provocation
- Whether there are any other mitigating factors
- Whether there have been previous occurrences without any action being taken
- Whether the colleague had been given any authority, on this or a previous occasion, which would lead them reasonably to believe the action or alleged conduct was acceptable.
- What the ULT's policy, procedure or guidance provide for
- Whether there is or was an established 'custom and practice' prevailing.

Having considered all the above matters, the Deciding Manager will consider whether there is a case to answer or not. The Deciding Manager may conclude that there is insufficient evidence to proceed to a formal hearing or that an appropriate response would be the application of informal counselling or additional training or else may decide to progress the matter to a formal hearing.

Formal Meeting/Hearing/Appeals Procedure

Introduction:

This meeting/hearing procedure will apply to formal meetings/hearings that are held under ULT's Disciplinary, Grievance and Performance Improvement policies and procedures. The procedure also applies to appeal meetings/hearings.

All parties must be committed to adhering to the timescales set out in the associated policies and procedures and endeavour to avoid undue delay.

This procedure applies to employees, referred to in this procedure as colleagues.

General Principles:

The Deciding Manager hearing the case will make the arrangements for the meeting/hearing and may be supported by Human Resources. This will include writing to the colleague, arranging the accommodation and making arrangements for a note taker. Formal meeting notes must be shared and an opportunity to amend or comment will be given to the colleague.

The Deciding Manager will have the authority, skill and experience necessary to fairly consider the facts and make a decision. Every effort should be made to avoid escalating issues to the most senior managers without good reason.

For appeal meetings/hearings, the Appeal Deciding Manager will be someone who has the appropriate level of authority but who has not previously been involved in the earlier decision and has the authority to overturn the decision made at the earlier hearing/meeting.

In a school the Deciding Manager will usually be the headteacher and the disciplinary panel will be made up of three governors. If there is an appeal then it will be conducted by a different Deciding Manager (who will usually be the chair or vice chair of governors, depending on any involvement in the original decision) and a governing body committee made up of three other governors not previously involved.

If the disciplinary matter relates to the headteacher then the CEO will be the Deciding Manager with a panel of three governors. If there is an appeal then the Deciding Manager will be the chair of the Trust Board with an appeal panel made up of three trustees.

If the disciplinary matter relates to the CEO, the chair of the Trust Board will be the Deciding Manager with a panel of three trustees. If there is an appeal then the Deciding Manager will be the vice chair of the Trust Board with an appeal panel made up of three other trustees not previously involved.

A decision to dismiss can only be taken by the Deciding Manager and three governors or trustees.

Arranging the meeting/hearing/appeal:

A letter inviting the colleague to attend a formal meeting/hearing/appeal must be sent in writing at least **10 working days** before the meeting/hearing/appeal.

Colleagues must provide copies of any relevant evidence they intend to refer to, at least **3 working days** before the meeting/hearing/appeal.

Invitation to the meeting/hearing/appeal:

The invitation will contain sufficient information about the alleged misconduct or poor performance concerns and its possible consequences to enable the colleague to prepare to answer the case at a meeting/hearing/appeal. It would normally be appropriate to provide copies of any written evidence, (which may include any witness statements, or evidence from the informal approach) with the invitation.

The invitation will also give details of the time and venue for the meeting/hearing/appeal and advise the colleague of who will be present and their right to be accompanied at this.

Attendees at the meeting/hearing/appeal:

The Investigating Manager or prior decision makers or any witnesses will **not** be called to attend the meeting/hearing/appeal as standard unless requested to do so.

The investigating manager or prior decision maker will be available to answer clarification questions at the meeting/hearing/ appeal if so required. The investigation report and written statements will be relied upon as evidence. The colleague has the right to request that a witness (s) attend the meeting/hearing/appeal. Colleagues will be expected to present any character witness evidence in writing rather than require the witness to attend the meeting. However, witnesses whose evidence is not challenged will not be called. Where a witness is required to attend the Deciding Manager hearing the case will invite them in writing.

Non-attendance at formal meeting/hearing/appeal:

If the colleague or their companions are unavailable to attend, they may propose a new date no more than **5 working days** from the date of the original meeting/hearing date.

If the colleague does not attend the meeting/hearing/appeal without good reason, it should be re-arranged but the colleague will be advised that if they do not attend the rearranged meeting/hearing/appeal, a decision may be made in their absence. They may submit a written statement to be taken into consideration.

Where a colleague is persistently unable or unwilling to attend the meeting/hearing/appeal without good cause the Deciding Manager hearing the case should make a decision on the evidence available.

Non-attendance at formal meeting/hearing/appeal – due to sickness absence:

The Deciding Manager hearing the case and colleagues (and their companions) should make every effort to attend the meeting/hearing/appeal. The Deciding Manager hearing the case will respond sensitively when a delay is required as it may arise for a reason related to a colleague's disability or emergency involving dependants. Where a colleague cannot attend due to sickness they must provide a fit note from their GP to certify their absence.

Where colleagues are absent due to sickness, the managing attendance at work procedure will apply as normal. However, those responsible for keeping in touch would not normally be the same people involved in the handling of their case. Under these arrangements due regard will be had for what is said by Occupational Health and any information the colleague may wish to provide from their GP. The Trust will arrange for the colleague to see Occupational Health as soon as possible for them to assess their health generally and whether or not they are fit to participate in these

procedures. Being absent from work due to sickness will not automatically stop the disciplinary procedure progressing.

Conduct of the meeting/hearing/appeal:

The Deciding Manager hearing the case should introduce those present and clarify roles, explain the purpose of the meeting/hearing/ appeal, how it will be conducted and check that the colleague received the documentation in advance of the meeting/hearing/appeal. The Deciding Manager hearing the case should also check that the colleague is aware of what the potential outcome of the meeting/hearing/appeal may be.

The Deciding Manager hearing the case should establish what the allegations or poor performance issues are and clarify that the written documentation has been fully reviewed. The Deciding Manager will ask questions to clarify any points. For grievance or appeal meetings the Deciding Manager will ask the colleague why they are raising a grievance or appealing.

The colleague (or their companion) then has the opportunity to state their case and present evidence, including calling of the witness(s) where appropriate. They will be given the opportunity to ask questions to clarify points. For appeal meetings the Deciding Manager should pay particular attention to any new evidence that has been introduced, and ensure the colleague has the opportunity to comment on it.

Before adjourning the meeting/hearing/appeal the Deciding Manager should summarise the main points of the discussion after questioning is completed and ask the colleague if there is anything further that they want to add.

The meeting/hearing/appeal will then be adjourned. This is an opportunity for the Deciding Manager hearing the case to consider the facts or instigate further investigation into the matter before coming to their decision.

Outcome:

The Deciding Manager hearing the case will either recall the colleague to the meeting/hearing/appeal or write to the colleague confirming their decision and if necessary, their right of appeal. The outcome of the meeting/hearing/appeal will be confirmed in writing within **10 working days** of the meeting/hearing/appeal.

Suspension Procedure

Suspension is a 'neutral' act in that it does not presume guilt or innocence. The decision to suspend a colleague from duty should not be taken lightly or without careful consideration of all the circumstances and the nature of the complaint or allegation made against them. Consideration should also be given to alternatives to suspension such as temporary redeployment or alternative duties. This guide applies to employees, referred to in this guide as colleagues.

If you are suspended, you will receive your normal pay in line with your planned working arrangement.

The following list is not exhaustive but provides an indication of the types of situation when suspension may be appropriate:

- where gross misconduct is suspected or alleged;
- where it would not be possible to carry out a thorough or impartial investigation with the colleague still at work;
- where there is a concern that further instances of misconduct may occur;
- where an employee's continued presence at work may put the individual or others at personal risk.

Whenever possible, an appropriate manager, in line with ULT's scheme of delegation, will hold a meeting with you to advise you of the decision to suspend you from duty. If you are not available and you cannot be contacted by all reasonable enquiries, we will inform you in writing not to attend work and to contact a named manager. A colleague can be suspended in the absence of a TU representative or work colleague.

If the appropriate manager considers that it would be appropriate to suspend you, they must do the following:

Get appropriate authority to suspend you if they are not authorised to make the decision (details in scheme of delegation).

In the absence of appropriate authorisation to suspend, if your line manager considers you to be unfit and/or, it is inappropriate for you to carry out your duties, they can send you home until an appropriate person is available to provide the relevant authorisation.

If you are suspended, you will also be told:

- why you are being suspended from duty, and that this will be confirmed in writing;
- that your suspension from duty is a neutral act and not a form of disciplinary action;
- to contact your TU representative (if you have one) or a work colleague, if you have not already done so;
- you remain a colleague and therefore are bound by your contract of employment;
- to remain contactable and available during normal working hours so that you can attend meetings as required and answer any work-related queries;

- to inform us of any change in your contact details immediately while you are suspended;
- to book any annual leave or report sickness absence in line with normal procedure;
- under no circumstances to approach, or try to influence, anyone associated with the complaint / allegation against you about the complaint / allegation, or enter any of our office / work premises without authorisation from the Investigating Manager. Failure to comply may result in further disciplinary action being taken against you;
- that your suspension will be reviewed every **15 working days** by the Investigating Manager, in consultation with the appropriate HR representative if necessary; and any decision as to whether a suspension should remain in place will be taken by the Deciding Manager.

If, at any stage in the procedure, it appears that there is a significant medical factor involved, you can be referred to Occupational Health.

Your suspension will end in one of the following circumstances:

- the Deciding Manager decides that there is no case for you to answer and that you do not need to attend a disciplinary hearing;
- if the investigation can continue without you needing to be suspended;
- if the investigation has been concluded and the allegations against you are less serious than originally thought; or
- if the disciplinary procedure has been completed.